IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.9122 of 2000

Between:

The Pulipadu Fishermen Co-operative Society, Regd.No. V-36, Rep by its President, K.Peddabakkaiah, S/o. Balakotaiah,
R/o. Peddavalagallu Village, Mundlamuru Mandal,
Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Divisional Panchayat Officer,
Kandukur, Prakasam District.
2 Rajampalli Grampanchayat, Rep by its Sarpanch,
Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.
3 The Sarpanch, Rajampalli Grampanchayat,
Rajamapalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.
4 Rajaka Seva Sangham, Rajampalli, Rep by its president, Sri

Surrapusala Chinna Venkateswarlu,
S/o. PeddaKotaiah, R/o. Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam

District.
(R4 is impleaded as per the court order in WPMP No. 19447/2000,

dated 17-7-02)

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or direction declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in issuing the letter dated 3.3.2000 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the orders of this Hon'ble Court and consequently to direct the 3rd respondent to grant the lease hold rights as directed in W.A. 959/1999 dated 6.8.1999.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.O.MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Sri Ch. Ramesh Babu

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking to direct the 3rd respondent to grant the fishing lease hold rights to the petitioner-Society in the tanks situated in Rajampalli Grampanchayat for the period from 01.07.1998 to 30.06.2000.

When the matter is taken up today for hearing, none appears for the petitioner. Learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development submits that by lapse of time the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 16.06.2005

Nsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Divisional Panchayat Officer,
Kandukur, Prakasam District.
2 The Sarpanch, Rajampalli Grampanchayat, Rajampalli Village, Darsi

Mandal, Prakasam District.
3 The Sarpanch, Rajampalli Grampanchayat,
Rajamapalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District.
4 Two CCs to GP for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High

Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5 2 CD copies